1 2 3 4 5 6	THOMAS V. CHRISTOPHER (SBN # 185928) Thomas@ThomasChristopherLaw.com THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS V. CHRISTOPHER 555 California Street, Suite 4925 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 659-1805 Facsimile: (415) 659-1950  Attorneys for Plaintiff 3taps, Inc. JONATHAN H. BLAVIN (SBN 230269)			
7				
8				
9				
10	560 Mission Street, 27th Floor San Francisco, California 94105			
11	Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077			
12	Attorneys for Defendant LinkedIn Corporation			
13	Anomeys for Defendant Linkeam Corporation			
14	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COLIDT		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
18		C N 10 00055 FMC		
19	3taps, Inc.,	Case No. 18-cv-00855-EMC		
20	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CLARIFYING STAY		
21	VS.	Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen		
22	LinkedIn Corporation,	Action Filed: February 8, 2018		
23	Defendant.	Trial Date: None Set		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CLARIFYING STAY

18-cv-00855-EMC

1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 WHEREAS, Plaintiff 3taps, Inc. ("3taps") filed the complaint in the above-captioned 3 action (the "3taps Action") against Defendant LinkedIn Corporation ("LinkedIn") on February 8, 4 2018 ("Complaint," ECF No. 1); 5 WHEREAS, on February 14, 2018, 3taps moved to relate the 3taps Action to hiQ Labs, 6 Inc. v. LinkedIn Corp., No. 17-cv-03301-EMC (the "hiQ Action") (see ECF No. 97 in No. 17-cv-7 03301-EMC); 8 WHEREAS, on February 23, 2018, the 3taps Action was reassigned to this Court as related 9 to the hiQ Action; 10 WHEREAS, LinkedIn took an appeal in the hiQ Action to the Court of Appeals for the 11 Ninth Circuit, (No. 17-16783, the "hiQ Appeal"); 12 WHEREAS, this Court stayed the hiQ Action pending the issuance of the mandate from 13 the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (see ECF No. 80 in the hiQ Action); 14 WHEREAS, on March 7, 2018, this Court stayed the above-captioned action pending 15 resolution of the appeal in the hiQ Action (see ECF No. 10); 16 WHEREAS, on September 9, 2019, a panel of the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit 17 issued an opinion on the merits in the hiQ Appeal (the "hiQ Panel Opinion"); 18 WHEREAS, LinkedIn is considering seeking panel or en banc rehearing of the hiQ Panel 19 Opinion; 20 WHEREAS, the hiQ Action remains stayed pending the issuance of a mandate from the 21 Ninth Circuit (see ECF No. 80 in the hiQ Action); 22 WHEREAS, the parties agree that a stay in this action pending the issuance of a mandate 23 from the Ninth Circuit is in the interest of judicial efficiency and conserving the resources of this 24 Court and the parties; 25 NOW, THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED: 26 27 1. The Expiration Date of the current stay in this action shall be the day after 28 the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issues its mandate in the hiQ Appeal;

1	2. Within 10 days of the Expiration Date, the parties shall inform the Court		
2	that the Ninth Circuit has issued its mandate;		
3	3. All other provisions in the stipulation and order concerning the existing stay		
4	in this matter (ECF No. 10) remain in place.		
5	4. The case management conference, currently set for November 14, 2019 at		
6	9:30 a.m., is continued to January 30, 2010 at 9:30 a.m.;		
7	5. All parties reserve all rights and arguments, including the right to apply to		
8	the Court to extend any deadline recited herein.		
9			
10	DATED: September 18, 2019  THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS V. CHRISTOPHER		
11			
12	By: /s/ Thomas V. Christopher		
13	THOMAS V. CHRISTOPHER		
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff 3taps, Inc.		
15			
16	DATED: September 18, 2019 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
17			
18	By: /s/ Jonathan H. Blavin		
19	JONATHAN H. BLAVIN		
20	Attorneys for Defendant LinkedIn Corporation		
21	N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 5-1 Attestation		
22	I, Jonathan H. Blavin, am the ECF user whose credentials were utilized in the electronic		
23	filing of this document. In accordance with N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 5-1, I hereby attest that		
24	Thomas V. Christopher concurred in the filing of this document.		
25	/s/ Ionathan H. Playin		
26	Jonathan H. Blavin		
27			
28			
	-3- 18-cv-00855-EMC STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CLARIFYING STAY		
	THE CEATION AND [I KOI OSED] ONDER CEARM TING STAT		

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
2			
3	DATED: September, 2019		
4			
5			
6	The Honorable Edward M. Chen		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		10 00055 7755	
	-4- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CLARIFYING STAY	18-cv-00855-EMC	